

PART A - Initial Equality Screening Assessment

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality and diversity.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provide a record of both the process and decision. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions.

Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality and diversity
- whether or not equality and diversity is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an Equality Analysis (Part B).

Further information is available in the Equality Screening and Analysis Guidance – see page 9.

1. Title		
Title:		
Response to Recommendations from Scrutiny review - Modern Methods of		
Construction		
Directorate:	Service area:	
Adult Care, Housing and Public Health	Housing Service	
Addit Galo, Flodoling and Fablic Flodici	Troubing Convices	
Lead person:	Contact number:	
Jane Davies, Head of Strategic Housing	(01709 334970)	
and Development	(6.7.35.35.3)	
and Bevelopment		
Is this a:	<u> </u>	
io uno a.		
Strategy/Policy Service/Function ✓ Other		
Strategy/Policy Service/Function ✓ Other		
If other places are all.		
If other, please specify		
Officer response to the Scrutiny Review of	Modern Methods of Construction.	

2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening

The Improving Places Select Commission conducted a review of MMC, and reported to Overview and Scrutiny Management Board on 12 December 2018.

The Council's Strategic Housing and Development Service is exploring a range of ways to accelerate the delivery of new housing in the borough, including the use of

modern methods of construction.

3. Relevance to equality and diversity

All the Council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – borough wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality and diversity.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, disability, sex, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sexual orientation, civil partnerships and marriage, pregnancy and maternity and other socio-economic groups e.g. parents, single parents and guardians, carers, looked after children, unemployed and people on low incomes, ex-offenders, victims of domestic violence, homeless people etc.

Questions	Yes	No
Could the proposal have implications regarding the		✓
accessibility of services to the whole or wider community?		
(Be mindful that this is not just about numbers. A potential to affect a		
small number of people in a significant way is as important)		
Could the proposal affect service users?		✓
(Be mindful that this is not just about numbers. A potential to affect a		
small number of people in a significant way is as important)		
Has there been or is there likely to be an impact on an		✓
individual or group with protected characteristics?		
(Consider potential discrimination, harassment or victimisation of		
individuals with protected characteristics)		
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns regarding		✓
the proposal?		
(It is important that the Council is transparent and consultation is		
carried out with members of the public to help mitigate future		
challenge)		
Could the proposal affect how the Council's services,		✓
commissioning or procurement activities are organised,		
provided, located and by whom?		
(If the answer is yes you may wish to seek advice from		
commissioning or procurement)		
Could the proposal affect the Council's workforce or		√
employment practices?		
(If the answer is yes you may wish to seek advice from your HR		
business partner)		

If you have answered no to all the questions above, please explain the reason

Modern Methods of Construction (MMC) is a different means of property construction. As with traditionally built homes, the end product will be additional units; size, property type and tenure of those units will, regardless of construction method, be driven by an assessment of housing need. Therefore, it is understood that the use of MMC will not have a detrimental impact on an individual or group with protected characteristics.

If you have answered \underline{no} to \underline{all} the questions above please complete **sections 5 and 6.**

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above please complete **section 4**.

4. Considering the impact on equality and diversity

If you have not already done so, the impact on equality and diversity should be considered within your proposals before decisions are made.

Considering equality and diversity will help to eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and take active steps to create a discrimination free society by meeting a group or individual's needs and encouraging participation.

Please provide specific details for all three areas below using the prompts for guidance and complete an Equality Analysis (Part B).

• How have you considered equality and diversity?

(think about the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned) with those likely to be affected)

Key findings

(think about any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)

Actions

(think about how you will promote positive impact and remove/reduce negative impact)

Date to scope and plan your Equality Analysis:	
Date to complete your Equality Analysis:	
Lead person for your Equality Analysis (Include name and job title):	

Flease state here who has approved the actions and outcomes of the screening: Name Anne Marie Lubanski Strategic Director of Adult Care, Housing and Public Health Jane Davies Head of Strategic Housing and Development 20.06.19

6. Publishing

This screening document will act as evidence that due regard to equality and diversity has been given.

If this screening relates to a **Cabinet**, **key delegated officer decision**, **Council**, **other committee or a significant operational decision** a copy of the completed document should be attached as an appendix and published alongside the relevant report.

A copy of <u>all</u> screenings should also be sent to <u>equality@rotherham.gov.uk</u> For record keeping purposes it will be kept on file and also published on the Council's Equality and Diversity Internet page.

Date screening completed	21.06.19
Report title and date	Response to Recommendations from Scrutiny review - Modern Methods of Construction
If relates to a Cabinet, key delegated officer decision, Council, other committee or a significant operational decision – report date and date sent for publication	Cabinet report 9 th July 2018: Modern methods of construction pilot to build affordable homes Improving Places Select Commission's report to Overview and Scrutiny Management Board, 12 th December 2018 Cabinet report 4 th February 2019: Delivery of 12 bungalows using modern methods of construction
Date screening sent to Performance, Intelligence and Improvement equality@rotherham.gov.uk	21.06.19